

REMARKS/ARGUMENTS

The Applicants originally submitted Claims 1-35 in the Application. In previous responses, the Applicants amended Claims 1, 5, 12-14, 17, and 27, cancelled Claims 2-4, 6-11, 15-16, 18-26, and 28-35 without prejudice or disclaimer and added dependent Claims 36-45. In the present response, the Applicants amended Claims 1 and 17 and added new Claims 46-49. Support for the Amendments can be found at least on page 10, lines 5-24 of the present Application. Accordingly, Claims 1, 5, 12-14, 17, 27, and 36-49 are currently pending in the Application.

I. Rejection of Claims 1, 5, 12-14, 17, 27 and 36-45 under 35 U.S.C. §112

The Examiner has rejected Claims 1, 5, 12-14, 17, 27, and 36-45 under 35 U.S.C. §112, first paragraph, as failing to comply with the written description requirement. In the interest of furthering prosecution, the Applicants amended Claims 1 and 17 to delete a recitation of “an *output only* display.” (Emphasis added.) However, the Applicants reserve the right to address the rejection of the Examiner at a later time.

Accordingly, the Applicants respectfully request the Examiner to withdraw the 35 U.S.C. §112, first paragraph rejection of pending Claims 1, 5, 12-14, 17, 27, and 36-45 and allow issuance thereof.

II. Rejection of Claims 1, 5, 12-14, 17, 27, 36-45 under 35 U.S.C. §103

The Examiner has variously rejected Claims 1, 5, 12-14, 17, 27, and 36-45 under 35 U.S.C. §103(a) as being unpatentable over U.S. Patent No. 7,197,332 to Andersson, *et al.* (“Andersson”) in

view of U.S. Patent No. 7,126,588 to Oakley, further in view of U.S. Patent Application Publication No. 2003/0040899 to Ogilvie, still further in view of U.S. Patent Application Publication No. 2004/0204123 to Cowsky, III, *et al.* ("Cowsky"), and yet still further in purported well known prior art (M.P.E.P. ¶2144.03). The Applicants respectfully disagree since Anderson is not prior art.

The Applicants note that Andersson has a filing date of **December 3, 2004**. Andersson is also a Continuation in Part of U.S. Patent Application No. 10/829,415, filed on **April 21, 2004**. However, the present Application has a filing date of **November 3, 2003**. Therefore, Andersson is **not** a valid prior art reference, because the earliest potential priority date of Andersson, **April 21, 2004**, is nonetheless *after* the priority date of the present Application, the filing date, **November 3, 2003**. Therefore, a combination of Andersson with the other cited references to reject Claim 1 is improper.

As such, the cited rejection does not provide a proper *prima facie* case of obviousness for Claim 1 and its dependent claims. For similar reasons, the rejection does not provide a proper *prima facie* case of obviousness for Claim 17 and its dependent claims, either. Accordingly, the Applicants respectfully request the Examiner to withdraw the 35 U.S.C. §103 rejection of pending Claims 1, 5, 12-14, 17, 27, and 36-45 and allow issuance thereof.


III. Conclusion

In view of the foregoing remarks, the Applicants see all of the Claims currently pending in this Application to be in condition for allowance and therefore earnestly solicit a Notice of Allowance for Claims 1, 5, 12-14, 17, 27, and 36-49.

The Applicants request the Examiner to telephone the undersigned attorney of record at (972) 480-8800 if such would further or expedite the prosecution of the present Application. The Commissioner is hereby authorized to charge any fees, credits or overpayments to Deposit Account 08-2395.

Respectfully submitted,

HITT GAINES, P.C.



J. Joel Justiss
Registration No. 48,981

Date: November 25, 2008

P.O. Box 832570
Richardson, Texas 75083
(972) 480-8800